

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,212		11/12/2003	Michael E. Connell	5083.1US (01-0428.01/US)	6326
24247	7590	08/17/2005		EXAMINER	
TRASK			WILSON, ALLAN R		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2815	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/706,212	CONNELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Allan R. Wilson	2815		

•	EXCHINIO	7.0.0	l .
	Allan R. Wilson	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires 3 floridis from the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-1' '11-07 OFD 44 07	Clade Market No. 1	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	uic issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		nay to botom or accor	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	,
	·	ALLAN R. V PRIMARY EX	
			•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitations added to the claims will require further consideration and search.